

If You Purchased Choline Chloride Between 1988 and 1998, You could be Affected by a Proposed Class Action Settlement.

A Federal Court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- There is a Proposed Settlement in a class action lawsuit about Choline Chloride. The lawsuit is called *In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation*. The lawsuit is pending in the United States District Court for District of Columbia.
- Under the Proposed Settlement, DCV, Inc. and DuCoa L.P. will transfer essentially all of their assets to purchasers of Choline Chloride. The main assets are money owed to DCV by another company, Arkion Life Sciences, LLC, and a portion of Arkion owned by DCV. If the Proposed Settlement is approved, lawyers for the Class will attempt to recover money from these assets and distribute money to Class Members. **No money is available to you at this time.**
- You may be affected by this Proposed Settlement if you directly purchased choline chloride for delivery in the United States from January 1, 1988 through September 30, 1998 and have not excluded yourself from the Class.
- Your legal rights are affected whether you act or don't act. Read this notice carefully.

YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THIS PROPOSED SETTLEMENT:		
YOU MAY:		DUE DATE:
OBJECT	Write to the Court about why you don't like the Proposed Settlement.	Received by April 2, 2009
GO TO A HEARING	Ask to speak to the Court about the fairness of the Proposed Settlement.	Received by April 2, 2009
DO NOTHING	Take no position on the Proposed Settlement and wait for more information.	

- These rights and options—and the deadlines to exercise them—are explained in this notice.

WHAT THIS NOTICE CONTAINS

	PAGE
BASIC INFORMATION	2
1. Why did I get this notice package?	2
2. What is this lawsuit about?	2
3. Why is this a class action?	2
4. Why is there a Proposed Settlement?	2
WHO IS AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?	2
5. How do I know if I am part of the Proposed Settlement?	2
6. Are there exceptions to being included?	3
7. I'm still not sure if I am included.	3
THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BENEFITS	3
8. What does the Proposed Settlement provide?	3
9. Will I receive a payment?	3
THE LAWYERS REPRESENTING YOU	3
10. Do I have a lawyer in this case?	3
11. Will the lawyers be paid?	3
OBJECTING TO THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT	3
12. How do I tell the Court that I don't like the Proposed Settlement?	3

THE COURT’S FAIRNESS HEARING	4
13. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Proposed Settlement?	4
14. Do I have to come to the hearing?	4
15. May I speak at the hearing?	4
IF YOU DO NOTHING	4
16. What happens if I do nothing at all?	4
GETTING MORE INFORMATION	4
17. Are there more details about the Proposed Settlement?	4
18. How do I get more information?	4

BASIC INFORMATION

1. Why did I get this notice package?

You or your company may have directly purchased Choline Chloride for delivery in the United States from any of the Defendants or their co-conspirators from January 1, 1988 through September 30, 1998.

The Court sent you this Notice because you have a right to know about a Proposed Settlement of a class action lawsuit, and about all of your options, before the Court decides whether to approve the Proposed Settlement. You will be informed of the progress of the Proposed Settlement.

This package explains the lawsuit, the Proposed Settlement, and your legal rights.

The Court in charge of the case is the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and the case is known as *In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation*, Misc. No. 99-197 (TFH). The people who sued are called the Plaintiffs, and the companies they sued are called the Defendants.

2. What is this lawsuit about?

The lawsuit claims that the Defendants unlawfully agreed to fix, raise, maintain, and stabilize the prices of Choline Chloride. The lawsuit claims that as a result, purchasers paid more for Choline Chloride than they otherwise would have paid.

After a trial in 2003, a jury found that DCV, Inc. and DuCoa L.P., as well as Mitsui & Co., Ltd. and Mitsui & Co. (U.S.A.), knowingly participated in an illegal conspiracy. The jury awarded damages of \$49,539,234, which were tripled to \$148,647,702. After the trial, Mitsui and its subsidiary Bioproducts Inc. paid money in a settlement. All of the other Defendants—BASF, Akzo Nobel, UCB, and Chinook—also settled with the Class.

The Court subtracted the settlement amounts paid by the other Defendants as well as \$236,816.08 paid by DCV and DuCoa and ordered DCV and DuCoa to pay the remaining amount of \$70,910,886.92, plus interest from June 20, 2003.

3. Why is this a class action?

In a class action, one or more people called Class Representatives sue on behalf of people who have similar claims. All these people are a Class or Class Members. One court resolves the issues for all Class Members, except for those who exclude themselves from the class. U.S. District Judge Thomas F. Hogan is in charge of this class action.

4. Why is there a Proposed Settlement?

DCV and DuCoa cannot afford to pay the total amount they owe. They have agreed to transfer essentially all of their assets to the Class and will go out of business. The Class Representatives and the attorneys think the Proposed Settlement is best for all Class Members.

WHO IS AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?

To see if you are affected by this Proposed Settlement, you first have to decide if you are a Class Member.

5. How do I know if I am part of the Proposed Settlement?

Judge Hogan decided that everyone who fits this description is a Class Member: *All persons and entities who directly purchased choline chloride for delivery in the United States from any of the defendants or their co-conspirators from January 1, 1988 through September 30, 1998. Excluded from the class are all governmental entities, defendants, and other manufacturers of vitamins, vitamin premixes and bulk vitamin products, and their respective subsidiaries and affiliates.*

The Defendants are DCV, Inc.; DuCoa L.P.; Akzo Nobel, Inc.; Akzo Nobel N.V.; BASF Corp.; BASF AG; Bioproducts, Inc.; Chinook Group Ltd.; Chinook Group Inc.; Mitsui & Co., Ltd.; Mitsui & Co. (U.S.A.), Inc.; UCB, Inc.; and UCB, S.A.

6. Are there exceptions to being included?

Governmental entities, defendants, and other manufacturers of vitamins, vitamin premixes, and bulk vitamin products, and their respective subsidiaries and affiliates, are not Class Members. In addition, persons and entities who excluded themselves from the Class are not Class Members.

7. I'm still not sure if I am included.

If you are still not sure whether you are included, you can ask for free help. See Question 18 below.

THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT BENEFITS

8. What does the Proposed Settlement provide?

DCV and DuCoa have agreed to transfer essentially all of their assets to Class Members. These assets include a promissory note from Arkion Life Sciences LLC for \$4,419,395.86, and a 21.334 percent interest in Arkion. A complete list of assets is contained in the Settlement Agreement.

9. Will I receive a payment?

No money is available to you at this time. Lawyers for the class will attempt to recover money from Arkion. If they are successful, you will receive additional information about how much money is available to you and how to make a claim.

THE LAWYERS REPRESENTING YOU

10. Do I have a lawyer in this case?

The Court asked the law firms of Hausfeld LLP; Boies, Schiller & Flexner, LLP; and Susman Godfrey LLP to represent the Class. These lawyers are called Class Counsel. You will not be charged for these lawyers. If you want to be represented by your own lawyer, you may hire one at your own expense.

11. Will the lawyers be paid?

Class Counsel were awarded attorneys' fees from earlier settlements in this case. They are not seeking fees at this time. If they are successful in recovering money from Arkion and seek additional fees, you will receive notice and will have an opportunity to object.

OBJECTING TO THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

You can tell the Court that you don't agree with the Proposed Settlement or some part of it.

12. How do I tell the Court that I don't like the Proposed Settlement?

If you're a Class Member, you can object to the Proposed Settlement if you don't like any part of it. You can give reasons why you think the Court should not approve it. The Court will consider your views. To object, you must send a letter that includes the following:

- A statement saying that you object to the Proposed Settlement in *In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation*,
- Your name, address, telephone number, and your signature, and
- The reasons you object to the Proposed Settlement.

You must file the objection with the Court at the following address, **received by April 2, 2009**:

Clerk of Court
United States District Court
for the District of Columbia
333 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001

You must also mail copies of the objection to the following attorneys, **postmarked by April 2, 2009**:

Michael D. Hausfeld
Hausfeld LLP
1700 K Street, NW, Suite 650
Washington, DC 20006

Lawrence J. Kotler
Duane Morris LLP
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4196

THE COURT'S FAIRNESS HEARING

The Court will hold a hearing to decide whether to approve the Proposed Settlement. You may attend and you may ask to speak, but you don't have to.

13. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Proposed Settlement?

The Court will hold a Fairness Hearing at 10:00 a.m. on May 4, 2009, in Courtroom 25A at the E. Barrett Prettyman Federal Courthouse, 333 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC. At this hearing the Court will consider whether the Proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. If there are objections, the Court will consider them. Judge Hogan will listen to people who have asked to speak at the hearing (see Question 15). At the hearing, the Court will decide whether to approve the Proposed Settlement.

The Court may change the time and date of the Fairness Hearing. Notice of any change will be posted at the courthouse or on the Court's website.

14. Do I have to come to the hearing?

No. Class Counsel will answer questions Judge Hogan may have. But, you are welcome to come at your own expense. If you send an objection, you don't have to come to Court to talk about it. As long as you mailed your written objection on time, the Court will consider it. You may also pay your own lawyer to attend, but it's not necessary.

15. May I speak at the hearing?

You may ask the Court for permission to speak at the Fairness Hearing. To do so, you must send a letter stating the following:

- "Notice of Intention to Appear in *In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation*",
- The position you will take on the Proposed Settlement and your reasons, and
- Your name, address, telephone number, and your signature.

Your Notice of Intention to Appear must be filed with the Court at the following address, **received by April 2, 2009**:

Clerk of Court
United States District Court
for the District of Columbia
333 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001

You must also mail copies of the Notice of Intention to Appear to the following attorneys, **postmarked by April 2, 2009**:

Michael D. Hausfeld
Hausfeld LLP
1700 K Street, NW, Suite 650
Washington, DC 20006

Lawrence J. Kotler
Duane Morris LLP
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4196

IF YOU DO NOTHING

16. What happens if I do nothing at all?

You are not required to do anything at this time. If lawyers for the Class are successful in recovering money from Arkion, you will receive additional information about how to make a claim.

GETTING MORE INFORMATION

17. Are there more details about the Proposed Settlement?

This Notice summarizes the Proposed Settlement. More details are in a Settlement Agreement. You can get a copy of the Settlement Agreement by visiting <http://www.hrsclaimsadministration.com/cases/vit/> or writing to Class Counsel (see Question 18).

18. How do I get more information?

If you have questions or want more information, you can visit <http://www.hrsclaimsadministration.com/cases/vit/> or write to Class Counsel at one of the addresses below:

Michael D. Hausfeld
Hausfeld LLP
1700 K Street, NW, Suite 650
Washington, DC 20006

William A. Isaacson
Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP
5301 Wisconsin Avenue, NW, Suite 800
Washington, DC 20015

James T. Southwick
Susman Godfrey LLP
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
Houston, TX 77002

Dated: January 27, 2009

By Order of the Court
United States District Court
for the District of Columbia

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

In re Vitamins Antitrust Litigation
Settlement Administrator
c/o Heffler, Radetich & Saitta LLP
P.O. Box 130
Philadelphia, PA 19105-0130

FIRST CLASS MAIL

PLEASE FORWARD—IMPORTANT LEGAL NOTICE